

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated May 1. Applicant has amended claims application to fully comply with Examiner's teaching. *No arguments entered in this amendment.*

Objections to Drawings

Examiner objected to Figures 1 and 2 due to lacking 'prior art' labels. In response, Applicant has attached hereto Replacement Drawing Sheets.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 6-9 as being anticipated by USP 4,438,246 to Kim and Claims 1-4 and 6-9 as being anticipated by USP 4,190,746 to Harwood, respectively under 35 U.S.C. §102. In response, Applicant has amended base claim to incorporate allowable claims 5 and intervening claim 3. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.


Allowable Claims

Examiner objected to Claim 5 as being dependent on the rejected base claim, saying that it would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations. In response, Applicant has incorporated Claims 3 and 5 to the base claim, thereby canceling Claims 3 and 5. Claim 4 has been amended to change its dependency from the canceled Claim 3 to the amended base Claim 1. No new matters entered.

Applicant now believes that Claims 1-2, 4, 6-11 are in condition for allowance, and respectfully requests a timely notice of allowance be issued in this case.

Respectively submitted,

Date: October 1, 2008


James E. Bame
Regis. No. 44521
Tel: 213-384-7200
IPLA P.A.
3580 Wilshire Blvd 17th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90010